

REMARKS

Claims 1-6 have been examined.

I. Preliminary Matter

The Examiner has objected to the Abstract as not commencing on a separate sheet.

Accordingly, Applicant hereby deletes the Abstract from page 24 of the specification and inserts the Abstract onto page 25 of the specification. Thus, the Abstract now commences on a separate sheet.

II. Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-6 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite. In particular, the Examiner maintains that the “position control apparatus” does not have antecedent basis. By this Amendment, Applicant has amended claim 1 in a manner believed to overcome the rejection.

III. Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner has indicated that claims 1-6 contain allowable subject matter. In view of the amendment to claim 1, Applicant submits that claims 1-6 are now in condition for allowance.

IV. Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

/Allison M. Tulino/

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

Allison M. Tulino
Registration No. 48,294

WASHINGTON OFFICE
23373
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: January 13, 2010